# The Determination of Trivalent and Hexavalent Chromium in Mineral and Spring Water using HPLC Coupled to the XSeriesII ICP-MS with CCT - Technical note

Dr Shona McSheehy1, Dr Fabienne Seby2, Dr Martin Nash3

<sup>1</sup>Group of Biogeochemistry, Ultra Trace and Isotopic Analysis, Laboratoire de Chimie-Analytique, Bio-Inorganique et Environnement, University of Pau, France;

<sup>2</sup>Ultra-Trace Analyses Aquitaine, Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, Pau, France;

<sup>3</sup>Thermo Electron Corporation, Winsford, UK

#### ABSTRACT

The External Trigger Card and PlasmaLab software features permit automated instrument operation and integration for the routine speciation of chromium using HPLC-ICP-MS. The above described methodology provides a validated solution for rapid, accurate and sensitive determination of Cr<sup>III</sup> and Cr<sup>VI</sup> species.

### **KEY WORDS**

CCT, Chromium, EDTA, HPLC-ICP-MS, Environmental Waters.

# INTRODUCTION

The extensive use of chromium in various industrial processes and the erosion of chromium from natural sources have resulted in its widespread occurrence in the environment. Monitoring of chromium in environmental compartments, and food and water sources is central in assessing the potential risk from exposure.

The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the European Union have specified maximum admissible concentrations of 0.1 and 0.05 mg/L for total chromium under their respective drinking water directives.

However, due to significant differences in toxicity, reactivity and bioavailability, it is also vital to distinguish between the trivalent (Cr<sup>III</sup>) and hexavalent (Cr<sup>VI</sup>) valence states of chromium. Cr<sup>III</sup> is essential for glucose, protein and fat metabolism whereas Cr<sup>VI</sup> on the other hand is highly toxic due to its strong oxidising properties. To assess human health risks from environmental exposure to chromium and chromium species, an accurate analytical method comprising highly sensitive and specific detection is needed. This application note describes the use of the HPLCICP- MS instrument package from Thermo Electron Corporation for the determination of chromium species in aqueous matrices.

The HPLC reversed phase methodology employed complexation of Cr<sup>III</sup> with EDTA to improve separation. Due to the carbon containing mobile phase, optional collision cell technology (CCTED) was optimized for the prevention of the polyatomic interference 40Ar12C<sup>+</sup> on 52Cr. The CCT additionally suppresses interference from matrix in the water samples. The analytical methodology was validated using a CRM (BCR CRM-544, lyophilized water) and method limits of detection (LOD) were determined from 3 times the standard deviation of species concentrations found in the blank (n=5).

#### **HPLC-ICP-MS CONFIGURATION**

A fully inert Finnigan<sup>™</sup> SpectraSYSTEM<sup>™</sup> HPLC pump with AS3500 autosampler was coupled to the XSeries// ICP-MS using the Thermo Electron HPLC-ICP-MS Coupling Pack (P/N 4600485) and Finnigan SpectraSYSTEM HPLC Wiring Harness (P/N 4600488). The XSeries// was operated under standard hot plasma conditions using a one-piece quartz torch with 1.5 mm ID injector and PlasmaScreen<sup>™</sup> option.

The spray chamber was cooled to 2 oC with the optional Peltier cooling device. PlasmaLab and Xcalibur software packages were used in conjunc-

tion with the External Trigger Card (P/N 4600261) to enable automated HPLC accessory control using bi-directional communications and intelligent peak integration facilities. The associated HPLC parameters and analytical conditions for HPLC-ICP-MS are shown below in Table 1.

#### SAMPLE PREPARATION

Daily working standards were prepared by diluting the appropriate quantity of the commercially available stock solutions (1000  $\mu$ g.mL-1) of each chromium standard (chromium (III) and chromium (VI)) in the HPLC mobile phase. The stock solutions were kept at 4 °C in the dark. The CRM BCR-544 (lyophilized water) was extracted according to the method outlined in the certification report supplied with the CRM.

The sample was reconstituted with 20 mL  $HCO_3^-/H_2CO_3$  buffer at pH 6.4. Aliquots of the reconstituted CRM were diluted 1:1 in 20 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM TBAP. Mineral and spring water samples were diluted 9:1 in 20 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM TBAP. Spikes of CrIII and CrVI were added to the reconstituted CRM and the mineral water samples prior to dilution with the EDTA solution. Both the standards and samples were placed in a heated water bath at 70 °C for 1 h to accelerate complexation of the Cr<sup>III</sup> with EDTA.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The chromatographic data is displayed automatically in the XSeries// PlasmaLab software package following analysis. An example of the chromatographic separation of chromium-containing standards at a concentration of 1  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> is shown in Figure 1 (a.). The HPLC methodology using EDTA as complexation agent allowed the baseline separation of CrIII and Cr<sup>VI</sup> with retention times of 215 s and 260 s respectively. External calibration curves were generated in PlasmaLab using a blank and Cr<sup>III</sup> and Cr<sup>VI</sup> calibration standards of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 10  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>.

Quantification of Cr<sup>III</sup> and Cr<sup>VI</sup> species was achieved in several samples using the external calibration curves presented in Figure 2 and fully quantitative data processing was achieved using PlasmaLab's automated peak integration tools. The mineral water samples analyzed were selected from a local supermarket. The samples were analyzed in triplicate. Their mineral content and the quantitative data for Cr<sup>III</sup> and Cr<sup>VI</sup> are presented in Table 2. The chromatograms for sample D and E are presented in Figure 1 (b.) and (c.) respectively. All the samples contained Cr<sup>VI</sup> as the major species with concen-

#### Table 1 – HPLC-ICP-MS parameters.

Column	Hypersil GOLD™ (150 x 4.6 mm, 5 µm)
Injection volume	Range: 50 - 200 µL
Flow rate	0.8 mL min <sup>-1</sup>
Isocratic elution	2 mM Ethylenediamenetetraacetic acid (EDTA) 0.25 mM Tetrabutylammonium phosphate (TBAP) pH 6.9
Forward Power	1300 W
Nebulizer Gas Flow	0.88 L min <sup>-1</sup>
Auxilliary Gas Flow	0.85 L min <sup>-1</sup>
Cool Gas Flow	13 L min <sup>-1</sup>
Data Acquisition Mode	PlasmaLab Transient Time Resolved Analysis (TRA)
Isotopes (dwell times, ms)	<sup>52</sup> Cr (200 ms) <sup>50</sup> Cr, <sup>51</sup> V, <sup>53</sup> Cr, <sup>54</sup> Cr (50 ms)
Channels per AMU	1
Timeslice duration	407 ms
Transient acquisition time	400 s
Spray chamber	Glass impact bead
Nebulizer	Glass concentric
Cones	Xt
Collision Cell gas	8% H <sub>2</sub> in He at 4 mL/min
Energy Discrimination Barrier	2 V
Focus	12.5 V

**Table 2** – Mineral water characteristics and fully quantitative and recovery data for Cr<sup>III</sup> and Cr<sup>VI</sup> in commercially available mineral and spring water samples and BCR CRM 544.

Mineral content and characteristics / mg L <sup>-1</sup>										
	Na	Ca	Mg	K	<b>CO</b> <sub>3</sub>	<b>SO</b> <sub>4</sub>	NO <sub>3</sub>	CI	рН	Residue
А	2.8	1.2	0.2	0.4	4.9	3.3	2.3	3.2	6	19
В	11.6	11.5	8	6.2	71	8.1	6.3	13.5	7	130
С	13	63	23	1.8	300	14	2	11	7.4	290
D	5	78	24	1	357	10	3.8	4.5	7.2	309
E	35	49	12	1	186	17	5	54	7.8	288
BCR 544					4200			905		

	Quantative o	lata / µg L-1	Recovery / %		
	Cr <sup>iii</sup>	Cr <sup>vı</sup>	Cr <sup>III</sup>	Cr <sup>vı</sup>	
А	n.d.	$0.054 \pm 0.003$	110	104	
В	n.d.	$0.149 \pm 0.008$	92	98	
С	$0.045 \pm 0.008$	$0.125 \pm 0.013$	90	106	
D	$0.036 \pm 0.006$	$0.159 \pm 0.002$	100	105	
E	$0.060 \pm 0.005$	$0.409 \pm 0.002$	105	98	
BCR 544	24.57 ± 2.56	23.94 ± 0.43	*92	*105	

\*Measured chromium species data as a percent of the certified value.

The Determination of Trivalent and Hexavalent Chromium in Mineral and Spring Water using HPLC Coupled to the XSeriesII ICP-MS with CCT - Technical note

**Table 3** – Figures of merit for the HPLC-ICP-MSmethodology.

Sample Loop /µL		Cr <sup>™</sup> / µg L⁻¹	Cr <sup>vi</sup> ∕ µg L⁻¹
50	BEC	0.096	0.026
	LOD	0.039	0.017
	LOQ	0.131	0.056
200	BEC	0.018	0.002
	LOD	0.017	0.009
	LOQ	0.055	0.029

trations varying between 0.054 and 0.409  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>. Three of the five water samples contained Cr<sup>III</sup> at levels above the limit of detection (but just above or below the quantification limit). Due to the low levels of chromium species in these samples, the detection and quantification limits of the methodology



**Figure 1** – HPLC-ICP-MS chromatograms at *m/z* 52 of (a.) a Cr<sup>III</sup> and Cr<sup>VI</sup> standard at 1 µg L<sup>-1</sup>; (b.) mineral water D (dark blue) and mineral water D with a spike of Cr<sup>III</sup> and Cr<sup>VI</sup> at 0.19 µg L<sup>-1</sup> (light blue); (c.) mineral water E (dark blue) and mineral water E with a spike of Cr<sup>III</sup> and Cr<sup>VI</sup> at 0.46 µg L<sup>-1</sup> (light blue); (d.) reconstituted CRM 544 (dark blue) and reconstituted CRM 544 with a spike of Cr<sup>III</sup> and Cr<sup>VI</sup> at 10.1 µg L<sup>-1</sup> (light blue) (a.)-(c.): 200 µL injection (d.) : 50 µL injection

were improved with a 200  $\mu$ L sample loop, rather than a 50 or 100  $\mu$ L sample loop (figures of merit are presented in Table 3). The method was validated for the mineral and spring water samples by determining the recovery of Cr<sup>III</sup> and Cr<sup>VI</sup> standards added to the samples prior to the complexation step (data presented in Table 2). The recovery determined for the five samples analyzed fell between 90 and 105 %, even for spikes at levels as low as 0.1  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> of each chromium species. Further method validation was performed through duplicate analyses in two independent bottles of CRM BCR-544 (lyophilized solution). A chromatogram of reconstituted BCR-544 is presented in Figure 1 (d.).

Due to the higher levels of chromium species in this CRM, a sample loop of 50 µL was used for the fully quantitative calibration and sample. The chromatogram shows that Cr<sup>III</sup> and Cr<sup>VI</sup> were found in the CRM and that carbon based matrix eluting close to the void volume creates a <sup>40</sup>Ar<sup>12</sup>C<sup>+</sup> interference on m/z 52. This is most likely due to the high carbonate concentration (0.042 %) in the reconstituted sample. The associated quantitative data is presented in Table 2 and there is satisfactory agreement between the measured and certified values for Cr<sup>III</sup> and Cr<sup>VI</sup>. However, the pH of the reconstituted solution is critical for preventing hydrolysis of the Cr<sup>III</sup> species and the solution should be complexed or analyzed as soon as possible after the recommended purge with CO<sub>2</sub> (refer to the certification report of CRM 544 for further details).

#### MDL AND LOQ DATA FOR CR SPECIES

MDLs and LOQs for Cr<sup>III</sup> and Cr<sup>VI</sup> species were determined in accordance with the  $3\sigma$  and  $10\sigma$  models respectively using fully quantitative analyses of method blanks (n=5) and the associated figures of merit for sample injection volumes of 50 and 200 µL are presented in Table 3.



Figure 2 – Fully quantitative calibration curves for Cr<sup>III</sup> and Cr<sup>VI</sup>.

i